(5)

(3)

IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, CHHATTISGARH
At BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH

Writ Petition No. 3644 / 2006

PETITIONER : **Devendra Giri Goswami**
S/o Late Shri. B.G.Goswami
Aged about 51 Years,
Employed as Data Assistant,
O/o Executive Engineer (E&M),
Repairs and Reclamation Section,
Water Resources Department, Raipur
Residing at : 2014, Stadium Road,
Kota, **RAIPUR** (CG).

R.E. No. 3715/06
Presented by Shri B P Rao
dated 19/7/06

Versus

RESPONDENTS :

1. **State of Chhattisgarh**
Through : The Secretary,
Water Resources Department,
D.K.S.Bhawan, Mantralaya,
RAIPUR – 491002 (CG)

2. **The Chief Engineer,**
Water Resources Department,
Sihawa Bhawan, Civil Lines,
RAIPUR – 492001 (CG)

3. **The Chief Engineer,**
Mahanadi Project, Raipur
Water Resources Department,
S.R.P.Chowk, Shankar Nagar Road,
RAIPUR – 492001 (CG)

4. **The Superintending Engineer,**
Electrical and Mechanical Division,
Water Resources Department,
Civil Lines, **RAIPUR** – 492001 (CG)

5. **The Executive Engineer,**
Heavy Equipment (E&M) Division,
Water Resources Deptt. OCM-Chowk
Irrigation Department, **RAIPUR** (CG)

RECEIVED-COPY
CLERK to A.G. BILASPUR
17-7-06

Rao
ocate

(4)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR THE ISSUANCE OF APPROPRIATE ORDER AND DIRECTIONS IN THE NATURE OF CERTIORARY, MANDAMUS ETC.

(35)

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..WP 3644/06.. **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	21.7.2006 Shri B.P. Rao, Advocate for the petitioner. Shri Satish Gupta, Dy. Govt. Adv. for the State on advance copy. Heard. The main grievance of the petitioner in this petition is that he has not been given the benefit of Assured Career Progression Scheme inspite of the fact that the petitioner has compieted 25 years of service. The petitioner has already made representations (Annexure P-4 & P-5) but nothing has been done so far. Therefore, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner may be allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.3 and in turn, respondent No.3 be directed to decide the same in accordance with law, to which learned counsel for the State has no objection. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation along with copy of this petition to respondent No.3 within ten days from today and in turn respondent No.3 is directed to decide the representation of petitioner within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of representation in accordance with law. With the aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. **Sd/-** **L.C.Bhadoo** **Judge**	

chan/-

P.T.O.